Appendix

Narrative Descriptions of Stream Biological Integrity Associated with Each of the IBI Categories *(Roth et al., 2000)*

Good	IBI score 4.0-5.0	Comparable to reference streams considered to be minimally impacted. On average, biological metrics fall within the upper 50% of reference site conditions.
Fair	IBI score 3.0-3.9	Comparable to reference conditions, but some aspects of biological integrity may not resemble the qualities of these minimally impacted streams. On average, biological metrics are within the lower portion of the range of reference sites (10th to 50th percentile).
Poor	IBI score 2.0-2.9	Significant deviation from reference conditions, with many aspects of biological integrity not resembling qualities of minimally degraded streams, indicating some degradation. On average, biological metrics fall below the 10th percentile of reference site values.
Very Poor	IBI score 1.0-1.9	Strong deviation from reference conditions, with most aspects of biological integrity not resembling the qualities of minimally impacted streams, indicating severe degradation. On average, biological metrics fall below the 10th percentile of reference site values; most or all metrics are below this level.

Criteria Used to Evaluate Physical Habitat

Habitat Parameter	OPTIMAL (20-16)	SUBOPTIMAL (15-11)	MARGINAL (10-6)	POOR (5-0)
1. Epifaunal Substrate (R/R)[1]	Well-developed riffle/run; riffle is as wide as stream and length extends 2 times the width of stream; abundance of cobble.	Riffle is as wide as stream but length is less than 2 times width; abundance of cobble; boulders and gravel common.	Run area may be lacking; riffle not as wide as stream and its length is less than 2 times the width; some cobble present.	Riffle or run virtually nonexistent; large boulders and bedrock prevalent; cobble lacking.
1. Epifaunal Substrate (G/P)[2]	Preferred benthic substrate abundant throughout stream site and at stage to allow full colonization (i.e., log/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	Substrate common but not prevalent or well suited for full colonization potential.	Substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Substrate unstable or lacking.
2. Instream Cover (R/R)	> 50% mix of boulders, cobble, submerged logs, undercut banks, or other stable habitat.	30-50% mix of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; adequate habitat.	10-30% mix of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable.	< 10% mix of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious.
2. Instream Cover (G/P)	> 50% mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, or other stable habitat; rubble, gravel may be present.	30-50% mix of stable habitat; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations.	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat obvious.
3. Embeddedness [a] (R/R)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediments.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediments.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediments.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are >75% surrounded by fine sediments.
3. Pool Substrate Characterization (G/P)	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
4. Velocity/Depth Regimes [b] (R/R)	All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow/deep, slow/shallow, fast/deep, fast/shallow).	Only 3 of 4 regimes present (if fast/shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of 4 regimes present (if fast/shallow or slow/shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime.
4. Pool Variability [c] (G/P)	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.

Criteria Used to Evaluate Physical Habitat—Continued

Habitat Parameter	OPTIMAL (20-16)	SUBOPTIMAL (15-11)	MARGINAL (10-6)	POOR (5-0)
5. Sediment Deposition (R/R)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and <5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from coarse gravel; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, coarse sand on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; >50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to sediment deposition.
5. Sediment Deposition (G/P)	Less than 20% of bottom affected; minor accumulation of fine and coarse material at snags and submerged vegetation; little or no enlargement of island of point bars.	20-50% affected; moderate accumulation; substantial sediment movement only during major storm event; some new increase in bar formation.	50-80% affected; major deposition; pools shallow, heavily silted; embankments may be present on both banks; frequent and substantial movement during storm events.	Channelized; mud, silt, and/or sand in braided or non-braided channels; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
6. Channel Flow Status (R/R) (G/P)	Water reaches base of both lower banks and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
7. Channel Alteration [d] (R/R) (G/P)	No channelization or dredging present.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization (>20 yr) may be present, but not recent.	New embankments present on both banks; and 40-80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; >80% of the reach channelized and disrupted.
8. Frequency of Riffles (R/R)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream equals 5 to 7; variety of habitat.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream equals 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the stream width is between 15-25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is >25.
8. Channel Sinuosity (G/P)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long time.
9. Condition of Banks [e] (R/R) (G/P)	Banks stable; no evidence of erosion or bank failure, little potential for future problems; <5% of bank affected; on Glide/Pool streams side slopes generally <30%.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over; 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; on Glide/Pool streams side slopes up to 40% on one bank; slight erosion potential in extreme floods.	Moderately unstable, 30-60% of banks in reach have areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods; on Glide/Pool streams side slopes up to 60% on some banks.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; on side slopes, 60-100% of bank has erosional scars; on Glide/Pool streams side slopes > 60% common.
(score each bank 0-10)	**(9-10)**	**(6-8)**	**(3-5)**	**(0-2)**

Criteria Used to Evaluate Physical Habitat—Continued

Habitat Parameter	OPTIMAL (20-16)	SUBOPTIMAL (15-11)	MARGINAL (10-6)	POOR (5-0)
10. Vegetative Protective Cover (R/R) (G/P)	>90% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation.	<50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption is very high; vegetation removed to 5 cm or less.
(score each bank 0-10)	**(9-10)**	**(6-8)**	**(3-5)**	**(0-2)**
11. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (R/R) (G/P)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clearcuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width or riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
(score each bank 0-10)	**(9-10)**	**(6-8)**	**(3-5)**	**(0-2)**

[1] R/R – Riffle/Run	Habitat assessment parameters used for streams characterized by riffles and runs.
[2] G/P – Glide/Pool	Habitat assessment parameters used for streams characterized by glides and pools.
[a] Embeddedness	The degree to which the substrate materials that serve as habitat for benthic macroinvertebrates and for fish spawning and egg incubation (predominantly cobble and/or gravel) are surrounded by fine sediment. Embeddedness is evaluated with respect to the suitability of these substrate materials as habitat for macroinvertebrates and fish by providing shelter from the current and predators and by providing egg deposition and incubation sites.
[b] Velocity/Depth Regimes	The general guidelines are 0.5 m depth to separate shallow from deep, and 0.3 m/sec to separate fast from slow.
[c] Pool Variability	Rated based on the variety and spatial complexity of slow- or still-water habitat within the sample segment. It should be noted that even in high-gradient segments, functionally important slow-water habitat may exist in the form of plunge-pools and/or larger eddies. General guidelines are any pool dimension (i.e., length, width, oblique) greater than half the cross-section of the stream for separating large from small and 1 m depth separating shallow and deep.
[d] Channel Alteration	A measure of large-scale changes in the shape of the stream channel. Channel alteration includes: concrete channels, artificial embankments, obvious straightening of the natural channel, rip-rap, or other structures.
[e] Condition of Banks	Steep banks are more likely to collapse and suffer from erosion than are gently sloping banks and are therefore considered to be unstable. Left and right bank orientation is determined by facing downstream.

Source: Modified from Barbour et al., 1999.

Summary of Metrics Used to Evaluate the Overall Biological Integrity of Stream and River Benthic Macroinvertebrate Communities

Metric	Description
1. Taxonomic Richness (a)	The total number of taxa present in the 200-organism subsample. Number decreases with increasing stress.
2. Shannon Diversity Index (b)	A measure of biological community complexity based on the number of equally or nearly equally abundant taxa in the community. Index value decreases with increasing stress.
3. Modified Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (a)	A measure of the organic pollution tolerance of a benthic macroinvertebrate community. Index value increases with increasing stress.
4. EPT Index (a)	The total number of Ephemeroptera (mayfly), Plecoptera (stonefly), and Trichoptera (caddisfly) taxa present in the 200-organism subsample. Number decreases with increasing stress.
5. Percent Ephemeroptera (a)	The percentage of Ephemeroptera in the 200-organism subsample. Percentage decreases with increasing stress.
6. Percent Dominant Taxa (a)	Percentage of the taxon with the largest number of individuals out of the total number of macroinvertebrates in the sample. Percentage increases with increasing stress.
7. Percent Chironomidae (a)	The percentage of Chironomidae in a 200-organism subsample. Percentage increases with increasing stress.

Sources: (a) Barbour et al., 1999 (b) Klemm et al., 1990

Summary of Criteria Used to Classify the Biological Conditions of Sample Sites

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

↓
↓
↓

TOTAL BIOLOGICAL SCORE DETERMINATION

Metric	Biological Condition Scoring Criteria			
	6	4	2	0
1. Taxonomic Richness (a)	>80 %	79 – 60 %	59 – 40 %	<40 %
2. Shannon Diversity Index (a)	>75 %	74 – 50 %	49 – 25 %	<25 %
3. Modified Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (b)	>85 %	84 – 70 %	69 – 50 %	<50 %
4. EPT Index (a)	>90 %	89 – 80 %	79 – 70 %	<70 %
5. Percent Ephemeroptera (c)	>25 %	10 – 25 %	1 – 9 %	<1 %
6. Percent Chironomidae (c)	<5 %	5 – 20 %	21 – 35 %	>36 %
7. Percent Dominant Taxa (c)	<20 %	20 – 30 %	31 – 40 %	>40 %
Total Biological Score (d)				

↓
↓
↓

BIOASSESSMENT

Percent Comparability of Study and Reference Site Total Biological Scores (e)	Biological Condition Category
>83	Nonimpaired
79 - 54	Slightly Impaired
50 - 21	Moderately Impaired
<17	Severely Impaired

(a) Score is study site value/reference site value X 100.

(b) Score is reference site value/study site value X 100.

(c) Scoring criteria evaluate actual percent contribution, not percent comparability to the reference station.

(d) Total Biological Score = the sum of Biological Condition Scores assigned to each metric.

(e) Values obtained that are intermediate to the indicated ranges will require subjective judgment as to the correct placement into a biological condition category.

Summary of Criteria Used to Classify the Habitat Conditions of Sample Sites

DETERMINATION OF HABITAT ASSESSMENT SCORES				
	Habitat Parameter Scoring Criteria			
Parameter	**Excellent**	**Good**	**Fair**	**Poor**
Epifaunal Substrate	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Instream Cover	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Embeddedness/Pool Substrate	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Velocity/Depth Regimes/Pool Variability	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Sediment Deposition	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Channel Flow Status	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Channel Alteration	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Frequency of Riffles/Channel Sinuosity	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Condition of Banks (a)	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Vegetative Protective Cover (a)	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (a)	20-16	15-11	10-6	5-0
Habitat Assessment Score (b)				

↓
↓
↓

HABITAT ASSESSMENT	
Percent Comparability of Study and Reference Site Habitat Assessment Scores	**Habitat Condition Category**
>90	Excellent (comparable to reference)
89-75	Supporting
74-60	Partially Supporting
<60	Nonsupporting

(a) Combined score of each bank
(b) Habitat Assessment Score = Sum of Habitat Parameter Scores